


---

# GAM RUN 16-010: HEMPHILL COUNTY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

by Rohit R. Goswami, Ph.D., P.E.  
Texas Water Development Board  
Groundwater Division  
Groundwater Availability Modeling Section  
(512) 463-0495  
October 21, 2016



*This page is intentionally blank.*

---

# GAM RUN 16-010: HEMPHILL COUNTY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

by Rohit R. Goswami, Ph.D., P.E.  
Texas Water Development Board  
Groundwater Division  
Groundwater Availability Modeling Section  
(512) 463-0495  
October 21, 2016

## *EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:*

Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h) (Texas Water Code, 2015), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, a groundwater conservation district shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the Executive Administrator of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the Executive Administrator.

The TWDB provides this information to the Hemphill County Underground Water Conservation District in two parts. Part 1 is the Estimated Historical Water Use/State Water Plan datasets report, which will be provided to you separately by the TWDB Groundwater Technical Assistance Section. Please direct questions about the water data report to Mr. Stephen Allen, (512) 463-7317 or [stephen.allen@twdb.texas.gov](mailto:stephen.allen@twdb.texas.gov). Part 2 is the required groundwater availability modeling information and this information includes:

1. the annual amount of recharge from precipitation, if any, to the groundwater resources within the district;
2. for each aquifer within the district, the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface-water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers; and
3. the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

The groundwater management plan for the Hemphill County Underground Water Conservation District should be adopted by the district on or before June 29, 2017, and submitted to the Executive Administrator of the TWDB on or before July 29, 2017. The current management plan for the Hemphill County Underground Water Conservation District expires on September 27, 2017.

This report discusses the methods, assumptions, and results from model runs using the recently released groundwater availability model for the High Plains Aquifer System (Deeds and Jigmond, 2015). This model run replaces the results of GAM Run 11-014 (Jigmond, 2011) that used version 2.01 of the groundwater availability model for the northern portion of the Ogallala Aquifer (Dutton, 2004). Table 1 summarizes the groundwater availability model data required by statute, and Figure 1 shows the area of the model from which the values in the table were extracted. If after review of the figure, the Hemphill County Underground Water Conservation District determines that the district boundaries used in the assessment do not reflect current conditions, please notify the TWDB at your earliest convenience.

#### **METHODS:**

In accordance with the provisions of the Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h), the groundwater availability model for the High Plains Aquifer System (Deeds and Jigmond, 2015) was used to extract information for this analysis. Hemphill County Underground Water Conservation District water budgets were extracted for the historical model period (1980 through 2012), used for calibration of the model, using ZONEBUDGET Version 3.01 (Harbaugh, 2009). The average annual water budget values for recharge, surface-water outflow, inflow to the district, and outflow from the district for the portion of the aquifer system located within the district are summarized in this report.

#### **PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:**

##### ***High Plains Aquifer System: Ogallala Aquifer***

1. Version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the High Plains Aquifer System was used for this analysis. See Deeds and Jigmond (2015) for assumptions and limitations of the groundwater availability model.
2. This groundwater availability model includes 4 layers which generally represent the Ogallala Aquifer and other younger geologic units (Layer 1) overlying the Dockum Aquifer, the Rita Blanca and Edwards-Trinity (High Plains) aquifers

(Layer 2), upper portion of the Dockum Aquifer (Layer 3), and the lower portion of the Dockum Aquifer (Layer 4). The Rita Blanca, Edwards-Trinity (High Plains) and Dockum aquifers do not occur within the Hemphill Underground Water Conservation District.

3. The model was run with MODFLOW-NWT (Niswonger and others, 2011). Perennial rivers and reservoirs were simulated using the MODFLOW-NWT river package. Springs, seeps, and draws were simulated using the MODFLOW-NWT drain package. For this analysis, groundwater discharge to surface water includes groundwater leakage to the river and drain packages.

## **RESULTS:**

A groundwater budget summarizes the amount of water entering and leaving the aquifer according to the groundwater availability model. Selected groundwater budget components listed below were extracted from the model for the High Plains Aquifer System within the district and averaged over the historical calibration period of the model run, as shown in Table 1.


1. Precipitation recharge—the areally distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.
2. Surface-water outflow—the total water discharging from the aquifer (outflow) to surface-water features such as streams, reservoirs, and springs.
3. Flow into and out of district—the lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.
4. Flow between aquifers—the net vertical flow between the aquifer and adjacent aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer or confining unit and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs.

The information needed for the district's management plan is summarized in Table 1. It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as a district or county boundary, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located.

**TABLE 1: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE OGALLALA AQUIFER FOR THE HEMPHILL COUNTY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT’S GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST ONE ACRE-FOOT.**

Management Plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Ogallala Aquifer	34,464
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface-water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Ogallala Aquifer	34,024
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Ogallala Aquifer	20,175
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Ogallala Aquifer	5,290
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district <sup>1</sup>	From the Ogallala Aquifer into underlying units	Not applicable

<sup>1</sup> The model does not simulate any formations underlying the Ogallala Aquifer within the district boundaries.



gcd boundary date = 11.19.15, county boundary date = 02.02.11, hpas model grid date = 03.02.16

**FIGURE 1: AREA OF THE GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY MODEL FOR THE HIGH PLAINS AQUIFER SYSTEM FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IN TABLE 1 WAS EXTRACTED FOR THE HEMPHILL COUNTY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT [THE OGALLALA AQUIFER EXTENT MODELED WITHIN THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY].**

## **LIMITATIONS:**

The groundwater model(s) used in completing this analysis is the best available scientific tool that can be used to meet the stated objectives. To the extent that this analysis will be used for planning purposes and/or regulatory purposes related to pumping in the past and into the future, it is important to recognize the assumptions and limitations associated with the use of the results. In reviewing the use of models in environmental regulatory decision making, the National Research Council (2007) noted:

*“Models will always be constrained by computational limitations, assumptions, and knowledge gaps. They can best be viewed as tools to help inform decisions rather than as machines to generate truth or make decisions. Scientific advances will never make it possible to build a perfect model that accounts for every aspect of reality or to prove that a given model is correct in all respects for a particular regulatory application. These characteristics make evaluation of a regulatory model more complex than solely a comparison of measurement data with model results.”*

A key aspect of using the groundwater model to evaluate historic groundwater flow conditions includes the assumptions about the location in the aquifer where historic pumping was placed. Understanding the amount and location of historic pumping is as important as evaluating the volume of groundwater flow into and out of the district, between aquifers within the district (as applicable), interactions with surface water (as applicable), recharge to the aquifer system (as applicable), and other metrics that describe the impacts of that pumping. In addition, assumptions regarding precipitation, recharge, and interaction with streams are specific to particular historic time periods.

Because the application of the groundwater models was designed to address regional scale questions, the results are most effective on a regional scale. The TWDB makes no warranties or representations related to the actual conditions of any aquifer at a particular location or at a particular time.

It is important for groundwater conservation districts to monitor groundwater pumping and overall conditions of the aquifer. Because of the limitations of the groundwater model and the assumptions in this analysis, it is important that the groundwater conservation districts work with the TWDB to refine this analysis in the future given the reality of how the aquifer responds to the actual amount and location of pumping now and in the future. Historic precipitation patterns also need



to be placed in context as future climatic conditions, such as dry and wet year precipitation patterns, may differ and affect groundwater flow conditions.

### **REFERENCES:**

- Deeds, N. E., and Jigmond, M., 2015, Numerical Model Report for the High Plains Aquifer System Groundwater Availability Model, 640 p.,  
[http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/hpas/HPAS\\_GAM\\_Numerical\\_Report.pdf](http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/hpas/HPAS_GAM_Numerical_Report.pdf).
- Dutton, A., 2004, Adjustment of Parameters to Improve the Calibration of the Og-n Model of the Ogallala Aquifer, Panhandle Water Planning Area: Prepared for Freese and Nichols, Inc. and Panhandles Water Planning Group by Bureau of Economic Geology, 22 p.
- Harbaugh, A. W., 2009, Zonebudget Version 3.01, A computer program for computing subregional water budgets for MODFLOW ground-water flow models, U.S. Geological Survey Groundwater Software.
- Jigmond, M., 2011, GAM Run 11-014: Texas Water Development Board, GAM Run 11-014 Report, 9 p.,  
<http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/docs/GAMruns/GR11-014.pdf>.
- National Research Council, 2007, Models in Environmental Regulatory Decision Making Committee on Models in the Regulatory Decision Process, National Academies Press, Washington D.C., 287 p.,  
[http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11972](http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11972).
- Niswonger, R.G., Panday, S., and Ibaraki, M., 2011, MODFLOW-NWT, a Newton formulation for MODFLOW-2005: USGS, Techniques and Methods 6-A37, 44 p.
- Texas Water Code, 2015,  
<http://www.statutes.legis.state.tx.us/docs/WA/pdf/WA.36.pdf>